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#### Abstract

The photolysis of 1-(trimethylsilylethynyl)-1,1-diphenyl-2,2,2-trimethyldisilane in the absence of a trapping agent afforded 1,1,2,2-tetraphenyl-3,4-bis[bis(trimethylsilyl)methylene]-1,2-disilacyclobutane (6). This compound could be transformed photochemically or thermally into 1,1,2,2-tetraphenyl-3-[bis(trimethylsilyl)ethenylidene]-4,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,2-disilacyclobutane (8). 1,2-Disilacyclobutanes 6 and 8 reacted with $m$-chloroperoxybenzoic acid to give the corresponding 1-oxa-2,5-disilacyclopentane derivatives. Thermolysis of 8 gave 1,1,3,3-tetraphenyl-2-[bis(trimethylsilyl) ethenylidene]-4,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,3-disilacyclobutane (10) and 1,1-dimethyl-2-[bis(trimethylsilyl)ethenylidene]-3,3-diphenyl-4,4-bis(phenyldimethylsilyl)-1,3-disilacyclobutane (11) in a ratio of $1: 1$. Thermolysis of $\mathbf{8}$ in the presence of anthracene afforded a $1: 1$ adduct of anthracene and 1,1-diphenyl-4,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1-silabutatriene in addition to 1,3-disilacyclobutane 10 . When $\mathbf{8}$ was thermolyzed in the presence of a small excess of methanol, both 1,1-diphenyl-4,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1-silabutatriene and 1,1 -diphenyl-2,2-bis-(trimethylsilyl)-1-silaethene were trapped by methanol. On heating 8 with elemental sulfur, a 1-thia-2,5-disilacyclopentane was produced. Preliminary results of an X-ray diffraction study of $\mathbf{1 1}$ are also described.


It has been shown recently that photolysis of alkynyl-substituted disilanes affords a convenient route to highly strained small-ring systems containing one or two silicon atoms in the ring. ${ }^{2-6} \mathrm{Ir}-$ radiation of phenylethynyldisilanes with a low-pressure mercury lamp gives thermally stable silacyclopropenes and silapropadiene intermediates which, in the absence of a trapping agent, undergo polymerization to give nonvolatile substances. ${ }^{2}$

More recently, we have found that a stable silacyclopropene, 1,1-dimesityl-2,3-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1-silacyclopropene obtained by photolysis of 1 -(trimethylsilylethynyl)-1,1-dimesityl-2,2,2trimethyldisilane, readily isomerizes upon irradiation with a high-pressure mercury lamp bearing a Pyrex filter to give the silapropadiene and the starting alkynyldisilane. ${ }^{7}$ Evidence for the photochemical isomerization of the silacyclopropene to the silapropadiene could be confirmed by quenching experiments with the use of a trapping agent such as methanol or acetone. In the absence of any trapping agent, however, this silapropadiene gave nonvolatile substances. In this paper, we report that a silapropadiene generated by photolysis of 1 -(trimethylsilylethynyl)-1,1-diphenyl-2,2,2-trimethyldisilane readily dimerizes yielding a novel 1,2 -disilacyclobutane in the absence of a trapping agent. ${ }^{8}$ We also report photochemical and thermal transformation of this compound into another type of a 1,2-disilacyclobutane and its chemical behavior.

## Results and Discussion

Photolysis of 1-(Trimethylsilylethynyl)-1,1-diphenyl-2,2,2-trimethyldisilane. We first examined the photolysis of 1 -(tri-methylsilylethynyl)-1,1-diphenyl-2,2,2-trimethyldisilane (1) in the
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Scheme II

presence of methanol, in order to determine how much silapropadiene (A) and silacyclopropene (B) would be produced (Scheme I). When a benzene solution of 1 in the presence of methanol was irradiated with a low-pressure mercury lamp bearing a Vycor filter at room temperature for 2 h under a purified nitrogen atmosphere, four products, 1,1-bis(trimethylsilyl)-2-(methoxydiphenylsilyl)ethene (2), (E)-1,2-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1-(methoxydiphenylsilyl)ethene (3), ( $Z$ )-1,2-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1-(methoxydiphenylsilyl)ethene (4), and bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene (5), were obtained in 30,12, 10, and $30 \%$ yields, respectively, with $86 \%$ conversion of the starting disilane 1 . The formation of product 2 can be best understood by the reaction of silapropadiene A with methanol. Compound 3 can be rationalized in terms of ring opening of silacyclopropene B by methanol, while compound 4 can be expected to arise from photochemical isomerization of 3. Liberation of diphenylsilylene species from either direct photolysis


Figure 1. UV spectra of 6, 7, 8, and 9: $6(-), 7(--), 8(-$ ), and 9 (一-.-).
of 1 or photochemical degradation of $B$ results in formation of 5.

Interestingly, irradiation of $\mathbf{1}$ in the absence of any trapping agent afforded a novel orange crystalline compound, 1,1,2,2-tetraphenyl-3,4-bis[bis(trimethylsilyl)methylene]-1,2-disilacyclobutane (6) arising from head-to-head dimerization of silapropadiene A in $18 \%$ yield, in addition to $38 \%$ yield of 5 (Scheme II). A higher yield ( $29 \%$ ) of 6 was obtained when 1 was photolyzed in the presence of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene. This result indicates that diphenylsilylene produced during photolysis adds to bis(trimethylsilyl) acetylene to give silacyclopropene B, which can be transformed photochemically into 1 and $A$ as observed in a different system. ${ }^{7}$ Indeed, when methanol was added to the photolysis mixture after irradiating a solution of 1 for 3 h , none of the product arising from the reaction of silacyclopropene B with methanol was detected by VPC analysis. The structure of 6 was confirmed by IR, mass, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis and an X-ray diffraction study as reported previously. ${ }^{5}$

Reactions of 1,2-Disilacyclobutane 6. To our knowledge, all of the 1,2 -disilacyclobutanes reported to date are readily oxidized by atmospheric oxygen to give the corresponding oxygen-containing five-membered-ring compounds. ${ }^{9-11}$ However, the present compound 6 is unaffected by air and moisture. Such anomalous stability of 6 toward molecular oxygen and moisture may be attributed to steric effects of large phenyl and trimethylsilyl groups which can protect the silicon-silicon bond from attacking agents. However, when a strong oxydizing agent such as $m$-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (MCPBA) was used, the silicon-silicon bond in 6 was oxidized to produce an oxygen-containing five-membered cyclic compound. Thus, treatment of 6 with 1 mol equiv of MCPBA in methylene chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a yellow crystalline product, 2,2,5,5-tetraphenyl-3,4-bis[bis(trimethylsilyl)-methylene]-1-oxa-2,5-disilacyclopentane (7) in $92 \%$ yield. Compound 7 also exhibits characteristic absorptions in the visible region as shown in Figure 1.

Interestingly, compound 6 can be transformed photochemically into another type of 1,2 -disilacyclobutane. Thus, irradiation of

a benzene solution of 6 with a high-pressure mercury lamp bearing a Pyrex filter for 10 h afforded a colorless crystalline product, 1,1,2,2-tetraphenyl-3-[bis(trimethylsilyl)ethenylidene]-4,4-bis-(trimethylsilyl)-1,2-disilacyclobutane (8) in almost quantitative

[^1]yield. The formation of $\mathbf{8}$ can be explained in terms of a 1,3 -shift of the disilanyl group. Such a 1,3 -shift was also observed in thermolysis of 6 at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h in a sealed glass tube. Compound 8 was obtained quantitatively. At $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, compound 6 isomerized completely to 8 in 40 s . Surprisingly, treatment of 6 with activated alumina at room temperature again produced 8 in a high yield. The driving force for this isomerization is thought to be steric interactions between the two trimethylsilyl groups on the unsaturated carbon atoms, and also between the trimethylsilyl groups and the phenyl groups on the ring silicon atoms.


Reactions of 1,2-Disilacyclobutane 8. Compound 8 is also fairly air-stable, but when 8 was exposed to air for 20 days, $35 \%$ of 8 was oxidized to give 2,2,5,5-tetraphenyl-3-bis(trimethylsilyl)-ethenylidene-4,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1-oxa-2,5-disilacyclopentane (9), with the remainder being recovered unchanged. Compound 9 could readily be obtained quantitatively by treating 8 with I mol equiv of MCPBA in methylene chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The structures of 8 and 9 were determined by spectroscopic methods and by an X-ray diffraction study which will be reported elsewhere. ${ }^{12}$ A strong band at $1860 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum of 8 clearly indicates that it must have an allenic structure. Compound 9 also showed this band at $1870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Thermal behavior of $\mathbf{8}$ is of considerable interest, although 8 is thermally more stable than 6. Thus, when 8 was heated in a sealed glass tube at 250 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , two isomers, 1,1,3,3-tetraphenyl-2-[bis(trimethyl-silyl)ethenylidene]-4,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,3-disilacyclobutane (10) and 1,1-dimethyl-2-[bis(trimethylsilyl)ethenylidene]-3,3-diphenyl-4,4-bis(phenyldimethylsilyl)-1,3-disilacyclobutane (11) were obtained in 47 and $48 \%$ yield, respectively. Control experiments showed that no interconversion between 10 and 11 was observed; in all cases, the starting compound was recovered unchanged, indicating that both compounds were produced directly from 8
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11

The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$ showed a parent peak at $m / e$ 704, corresponding to the calculated molecular weight of $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{Si}_{6}$. Compound 11 also showed its parent peak at $m / e 704$. A strong absorption at $1880 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 10 and a similar absorption at 1885 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for 11 in the IR spectrum indicate that both compounds must have an allenic structure. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 10 and 11 (see Experimental Section) were consistent with the proposed structures. ${ }^{13}$

The structure of 11 was determined by an X-ray diffraction study. This compound crystallizes in a triclinic system, and the crystals belong to space group $P \overline{1}$ (assumed to be centric by a statistical consideration) with cell dimensions $a=13.151$ (4), $b$ $=15.046$ (6), $c=11.671$ (5) $\AA, \alpha=105.20$ (3), $\beta=104.20$ (3), $\gamma=88.46(4)^{\circ} ; V=2158.6(14) \AA^{3}$ and $D_{x}=1.085 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}(z$ $=2$ ). The structure was solved by direct methods. ${ }^{14}$ The molecular structure with an atom labeling scheme is shown in Figure

[^2]

Figure 2. Molecular structure of 1,1-dimethyl-2-[bis(trimethylsilyl)-ethenylidene)-3,3-diphenyl-4,4-bis(phenyldimethylsilyl)-1,3-disilacyclobutane (11).

Scheme III

2. The 1,3-disilacyclobutane ring is almost planar, a maximum deviation from the best plane being $0.12 \AA$. The molecule has approximately a mirror symmetry at the four-membered ring except for phenyl groups. All of endocyclic angles in the ring are nearly $90^{\circ}$, and all the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in the ring are of normal length (average $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}(=)=1.87, \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}=1.94 \AA$ ).

In order to learn more about the formation of 10 and 11, we carried out thermolysis of $\mathbf{8}$ in the presence of a trapping agent. Interestingly, when 8 was heated in the presence of a sevenfold excess of anthracene at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , compound 10 was obtained in $40 \%$ yield, together with $34 \%$ yield of a new compound. No compound 11 was detected by either spectroscopic or TLC analysis. This new compound was identified as $\mathbf{1 2}$, an addition

product of 1,1-diphenyl-4,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1-silabutatriene intermediate (C) (see Scheme III) to anthracene, by its IR, mass, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrometric analysis. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2}$ revealed the fragment at $m / e 364$ corresponding to the molecular weight of intermediate $C$ as a base peak, consistent with the proposed structure. In this thermolysis, no volatile products which can be expected to be produced from intermediate D were detected by spectroscopic analysis of the reaction mixture. However, when compound 8 was thermolyzed in the presence of methanol, intermediate D could be quenched as a methoxysilane. To our surprise, compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was also produced in this reaction. Thus, the thermolysis of $\mathbf{8}$ in the presence of a tenfold excess of methanol at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in a sealed tube afforded 10 , bis(trimethylsilyl)(methoxydiphenylsilyl)methane (13), 1,1-bis(tri-methylsilyl)-3-(methoxydiphenylsilyl)propadiene (14), and 3,3-

## Scheme IV



Scheme V
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$$
\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{SiPh}_{2}\right]+\mathrm{E} \longrightarrow 11
$$

C
bis(trimethylsilyl)-1-(methoxydiphenylsilyl)propyne (15) in 27, 25,6 , and $16 \%$ yields, respectively, in addition to a $21 \%$ combined yield of 1,1,4,4-tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)-3-[1'( $2^{\prime}$-methoxy$1^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-tetraphenyldisilanyl]buta-1,2-diene (16) and $1,1,4,4-$ tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)-4-[1'( $2^{\prime}$-methoxy- $1^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-tetraphenyldisilanyl] buta-1,2-diene (17) (Scheme IV).
The structure of $\mathbf{1 3}$ arising from addition of methanol to intermediate D was confirmed by IR, mass, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrometric analysis. As expected, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed four resonances at $\delta-0.02,0.05,3.45$ and $7.3-7.8$, attributed to $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{HC}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{MeO}$, and phenyl ring protons, respectively. Moreover, the absorption band at $1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum clearly indicates the presence of a methoxy group. The addition of methanol to intermediate $C$ proceeds by two different routes involving a 1,2 and 1,4 fushion, giving rise to 14 and 15 , respectively. The IR spectrum of 14 shows absorption at $1905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the allenic structure, while that of 15 exhibits a band at $2160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of a triple bond. The mass and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of both 14 and 15 were consistent with those proposed structures. The formation of compounds 16 and 17 can be explained by the reaction of 8 with methanol. All attempts to separate 16 and 17 were unsuccessful. A ratio of 16 to 17 was determined to be $2: 1$ by a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic study of the mixture separated by preparative TLC. When a large excess of methanol was used in the thermolysis of 8 , only 16 and 17 were formed in a $67 \%$ combined yield. The ratio of 16 to 17 was again $2: 1$. The production of methoxysilanes $\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}$, and $\mathbf{1 5}$ clearly indicates that compound 8 must produce two different types of a silicon-carbon double-bonded intermediate.
These results suggest that thermolysis of $\mathbf{8}$ proceeds by two different routes. One involves a concerted 1,3 -silyl shift leading to isomer 10, and the other comprises production of two reactive intermediates C and D . In the absence of a trapping agent, intermediate $D$ thus formed probably isomerizes to give another type of intermediate ( E ), ${ }^{15}$ which can react with C yielding 11 (see Scheme V).

Compound 8 readily reacted with elemental sulfur to give a 1 -thia-2,5-disilacyclopentane. When a mixture of 8 and a threefold excess of elemental sulfur was heated at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in

[^3]a sealed glass tube, a crystalline compound (18) arising from insertion of atomic sulfur into a silicon-silicon bond in 8 was obtained in $70 \%$ yield. No other compound was observed by either spectroscopic of TLC analysis.



18
1-Oxa-2,5-disilacyclopentane 7 was also found to isomerize thermally yielding another type of 1-oxa-2,5-disilacyclopentane 9. The thermolysis of 7 in a sealed tube at $255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min produced 9 in almost quantitative yield. Compound 9 was found to be stable under the conditions used. No change was observed after heating for 4 h at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Experimental Section

General Procedure. All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen.

Infrared spectra were obtained with the use of a Hitachi Model EPI-G3 Grating infrared spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were determined with a JEOL Model JNM-MH-100 spectrometer in carbon tetrachloride with the use of cyclohexane as an internal standard. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were determined with a JEOL Model JNM-PFT-100 spectrometer with the use of deuteriochloroform as a solvent. Mass spectra were measured on a JEOL Model JMS-D300 equipped with a JMA-2000 data processing system. UV and visible spectra were measured in cyclohexane on a Hitachi EPS-3T spectrophotometer. An Aerograph Model 90-P gas chromatograph with a thermal conductivity detector was used for separating the methoxysilanes. They were easily separated by using a $3 / 8 \mathrm{in}$. $\times 20 \mathrm{ft}$ column containing SE-30 (30\%) on Chromosorb W. Preparative thin layer chromatography was carried out with the use of silica gel 60 $\mathrm{PF}_{254}$ UV indicating gel.

Materials. Benzene was dried over lithium aluminum hydride and distilled just before use. Methanol was dried over magnesium methoxide and distilled before use. 1-Chloro-1,1-diphenyl-2,2,2-trimethyldisilane was prepared as reported in the literature. ${ }^{16}$

1-(Trimethylsilylethynyl)-1,1-diphenyl-2,2,2-trimethyldisilane (1). To a Grignard reagent prepared from $8.7 \mathrm{~g}(0.080 \mathrm{~mol})$ of ethyl bromide and $2.1 \mathrm{~g}(0.085 \mathrm{~g}$-atom $)$ of magnesium in 40 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) was added $7 \mathrm{~g}(0.071 \mathrm{~mol})$ of (trimethylsilyl) acetylene in 40 mL of dry THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, 8.30 g ( 0.029 mol ) of 1 -chloro- 1,1 -diphenyl-2,2,2-trimethyldisilane in 30 mL of THF was added and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h . The reaction mixture was then hydrolyzed with dilute hydrochloric acid. The organic layer was separated and the water layer was extracted with ether. The organic layer and ether extracts were combined, washed with water, and dried over potassium carbonate. The solvents were evaporated and the residue was distilled through a short column under reduced pressure to give 8.0 g ( $80 \%$ yield) of 1 as a colorless liquid: bp $152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 3 mmHg ); $\mathrm{n}^{20} \mathrm{D} 1.5476$; IR $1485,1430,1255$, $1110,865,845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / e 352\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.20$ ( 9 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ ), $0.27\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 7.3-7.8(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Si}_{3}$ : C, 68.11 ; $\mathrm{H}, 8.00$. Found: C, $68.26 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.13.

Photolysis of 1 in the Presence of Methanol. A solution of 1.04 g ( 2.95 mmol ) of 1 and 1 mL of dry methanol in 80 mL of benzene was photolyzed with a $10-\mathrm{W}$ immersion low-pressure mercury lamp bearing a Vycor filter for 2 h with a slow stream of nitrogen bubbling through the mixture. After evaporation of the solvent, $0.032 \mathrm{~g}(0.280 \mathrm{mmol})$ of octane and $0.164 \mathrm{~g}(0.724 \mathrm{mmol})$ of cetane as internal standards were added and the mixture was analyzed by analytical VPC as being 2 ( $30 \%$ ), $3(12 \%), 4(10 \%), 5(30 \%)$, and the starting disilane 1 (14\%). All of the products were separated by preparative VPC. For compound 2: IR 1430, 1265, 1250, 1115, 1095, 890, $845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / e 384\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.08$ ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ ), $0.16\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 3.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, MeO ), $7.4-7.8$ ( $11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons and CH ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSi}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 65.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.38$. Found: C, $65.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.46$. For 3: IR $1430,1265,1250,1115,1090,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 384\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.08\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$, $0.15\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 3.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, MeO ), 7.3-7.7 ( $11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons and CH ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSi}_{3}$ : C, 65.56; H, 8.38. Found: C, 65.78; H, 8.65. For 4: IR $1430,1250,1120,1090,905,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ mass spectrum $m / e 384\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$;

[^4]${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta-0.20\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.02\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 3.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{MeO}), 7.4-7.8(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons and CH$)$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSi}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 65.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.38$. Found: C, 65.47; H, 8.53.

Photolysis of 1 in the Absence of a Trapping Agent. A solution of 1.51 g ( 4.28 mmol ) of 1 in 80 mL of benzene was irradiated for 5 h under similar conditions. VPC analysis of the resulting dark-yellow solution with the use of octane as an internal standard showed the presence of a $38 \%$ yield of 5 . After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, orange-yellow crystals were obtained. Recrystallization of the crystals from hexane afforded 0.265 g ( $18 \%$ yield) of 6 as orange crystals: mp $199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR $1430,1270,1255,1105,920,845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta-0.16$ ( $18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ ), $0.30\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 6.9-7.8(20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ (downfield from $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ) $2.58\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 5.70\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$, 127.16 (d, Ph), 127.75 (d, Ph), 129.15 (d, Ph), 132.59 (s, Ph), 134.31 (s, Ph), 136.95 (d, Ph), 137.21 (d, Ph), $163.39\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 187.57$ $\left(\mathrm{s},=\mathrm{CSiPh}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{Si}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 68.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.00$. Found: C, $67.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.09$.

Photolysis of 1 in the Presence of Bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene. A mixture of $1.20 \mathrm{~g}(3.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1 and $3.0 \mathrm{~g}(17.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene was irradiated with a low-pressure mercury lamp for 5 h . After the usual workup, 0.345 g ( $29 \%$ yield) of 6 was obtained.
Reaction of 6 with MCPBA. To a solution of $70 \mathrm{mg}(0.099 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 6 in 2 mL of methylene chloride in a $5-\mathrm{mL}$ test tube was added 21 mg ( 0.122 mmol ) of MCPBA dissolved in 1 mL of methylene chloride at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated to give crude 7. Pure 7 ( 66 $\mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield) was isolated by preparative TLC: mp $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallization from ethanol); IR $1430,1270,1255,1110,950,850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / e 720\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.03\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.06(18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$, 7.1-8.0 ( 20 H , m, ring protons); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 3.98\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$, 6.06 (q), $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 127.56$ (d, Ph), 127.66 (d, Ph), 130,22 (d, Ph), 134.11 (s, Ph), 136.26 (d, Ph), 136.31 (d, Ph), 137.06 (s, Ph), 169.92 (s, $=\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 183.69\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CSiPh}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{OSi}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 66.60$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.82$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.82$.

Thermolysis of 6. In a sealed glass tube previously flushed with argon was placed $152 \mathrm{mg}(0.215 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 6 . The glass tube was purged with dry argon and then sealed. The tube was heated for 3 h at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon cooling the resulting product to room temperature, white crystals were obtained. The crystals were collected to give 151 mg ( $99 \%$ yield) of 8 . Recrystallization from ethanol gave 8 as colorless crystals: mp $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR 1860, 1430, 1255, 1100, $840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / e 704 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.03\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.09\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 7.0-7.8(20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \stackrel{\mathrm{NMR}^{17}}{ }{ }^{17} 1.82\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 4.64\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 29.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiPh}_{2}\right)\right), 76.71\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CSiPh}_{2}\right), 127.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ph}), 127.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ph})$, 128.98 (d, Ph), 129.50 (d, Ph), 136.39 (s, Ph), 136.52 (d, Ph), 136.84 (d, Ph), $137.94(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ph}), 203.49(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}=)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{Si}_{6}$ : C, $68.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.00$. Found: C, 68.17 ; H, 7.96 .
Photolysis of 6. In a Pyrex NMR tube was placed a solution of 10 $\mathrm{mg}(0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 6 dissolved in 1 mL of benzene. The solution was purged with argon and the tube was sealed with a serum cap. It was then irradiated externally with a high-pressure mercury lamp for 10 h . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrim of the reaction mixture showed the presence of 8 in 90\% yield.

Reaction of 6 with Activated Alumina. A solution of $13 \mathrm{mg}(0.018$ mmol) of 6 in 1 mL of hexane was allowed to pass through a column packed with activated alumina. After 10 min , decoloration of the or-ange-yellow band was observed. Elution with benzene gave $11 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%$ yield) of 8 .

Reaction of 8 with MCPBA. To a solution of $75 \mathrm{mg}(0.106 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 8 dissolved in 2 mL of methylene chloride in a $5-\mathrm{mL}$ test tube was added $23 \mathrm{mg}(0.133 \mathrm{mmol})$ of MCPBA in 1 mL of methylene chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated and chromatographed by preparative TLC to give 70 nlg ( $91 \%$ yield) of 9 . Recrystallization from ethanol gave pure 9 as colorless crystals: mp $225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR 1870 , 1830, 1430, 1255, $1110,945 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / e 720\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.02\left(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 7.0-7.9\left(20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, ring protons); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 1.95\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 4.80\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 20.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiPh}_{2}\right)\right)$, $73.11\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 73.70\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{CSiPh}_{2}\right), 127.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ph}), 127.65$ (d, Ph), 129.60 (d, Ph), 130.25 (d, Ph), 135.83 (d, Ph), 136.35 (s, Ph), 137.55 (s, Ph), $205.44(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}=)$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{OSi}_{6}$ : C , $66.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.82$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.91$.

Thermolysis of 8 . Compound $8(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h in a degassed sealed glass tube. It was cooled down to room temperature to give white crystals. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture showed the presence of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and 11 in quantitative yield. Crystallization of the mixture from ethanol afforded 13 mg ( $26 \%$ yield) of 11. Compound 10 ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ yield) was isolated by treatment of the
(17) In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 8 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, a signal due to $=\mathrm{C}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ could not be observed due to overlapping with that of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, but the spectrum in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ showed this resonance at 73.62 ppm .
filtrate with preparative TLC (silica gel, hexane), ${ }^{18}$ followed by crystallization from hexane. For $10: \mathrm{mp} 211^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $1880,1430,1270,1255$, 1100, $880,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / e 704\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta-0.13$ ( $18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ ), 0.28 ( $18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ ), $7.2-8.0$ ( 20 H , m, ring protons); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 2.08\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 6.49\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 12.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $59.28\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 60.71\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 127.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ph}), 129.40$ (d, Ph), 136.10 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 138.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 196.93 ( $\mathrm{s},=\mathrm{C}=$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{Si}_{6}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 68.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.00$. Found: C, 68.10; H, 7.79. For 11: mp $203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $1885,1430,1265,1255,1105,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / e 704\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta-0.21(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeSi}), 0.19(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeSi})$, 0.23 ( $18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ ), 0.73 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeSi}$ ), $7.0-7.9(20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 1.75\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 5.06\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$, $7.08\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 10.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}\right), 61.03\left(\mathrm{~s},=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 61.42$ ( $\mathrm{s},=\mathrm{CSiPh}_{2}$ ), 127.07 (d, Ph), 127.59 (d, Ph), 128.56 (d, Ph), 129.60 (d, Ph), 135.38 (d, Ph), 136.10 (d, Ph), 138.49 (s, Ph), 142.07 (s, Ph), 195.05 ( $\mathrm{s},=\mathrm{C}=$ ). Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{Si}_{6}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 68.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.00$. Found: C , 67.86; H, 8.03.

Thermolysis of 8 in the Presence of Anthracene. A mixture of 50 mg ( 0.071 mmol ) of 8 and $90 \mathrm{mg}(0.505 \mathrm{mmol})$ of anthracene was heated at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h in a sealed glass tube. The reaction mixture was chromatographed by TLC (silica gel, hexane) to give 13 mg ( $34 \%$ yield) of anthracene adduct 12 and 20 mg ( $40 \%$ yield) of $\mathbf{1 0}$. For $12: \mathrm{mp} 173$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $1900,1425,1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta-0.13\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 4.32$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HCSi}$ ), $4.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HCC}(\mathrm{Si})=$ ), 7.8-8.5 $(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons); exact mass 542.2303 (caled 542.2281).

Thermolysis of 8 in the Presence of a Tenfold Excess of Methanol. A mixture of $81 \mathrm{mg}(0.115 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 8 and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ of dry methanol was heated in a $1.3-\mathrm{mL}$ sealed glass tube at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The yields of the products $10,13,14,15,16$, and 17 were determined to be $27,25,6,16,14$, and $7 \%$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. Compounds 13, 14, and 15 were separated by preparative VPC. For 13: IR $1435,1270,1260,1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta-0.02\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.05$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}$ ), 3.45 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), $7.25-7.75$ ( 10 H , m, ring protons); exact mass $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 357.1548$ (calcd 357.1526). For 14: IR 1905, $1435,1255,1120,1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.16\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 3.55$ ( 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), $4.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{C}$ ), $7.2-7.8$ ( $10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons);
(18) Treatment of a mixture of 10 and 11 with preparative TLC afforded only 10 ; compound 11 decomposed to give a nonvolatile product on silica gel.
exact mass 396.1776 (caled 396.1761). For 15: IR $2160,2110,1435$, $1120,1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.00\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 1.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.55\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $7.2-7.8(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons); exact mass 396.1780 (calcd 396.1761 ). Compound 10 was isolated by TLC (silica gel, hexane). All spectral data for 10,16 , and 17 were identical with those of authentic samples.

Thermolysis of 8 in a Large Excess of Methanol. A mixture of 50 mg ( 0.071 mmol ) of 8 and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dry methanol in a sealed glass tube was heated at $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was crystallized from methanol ${ }^{19}$ to give 35 mg ( $67 \%$ yield) of a mixture of 16 and 17: IR $1890,1875,1425,1265,1250,1100,1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.01(18 \mathrm{H}$, s, $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.03\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.10\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.12(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.56\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{C}), 7.1-8.0(40 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons); exact mass 736.3281 (calcd 736.3260).
Isomerization of 7. Compound $7(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a sealed glass tube was heated at $255^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture showed quantitative formation of 9 . The mixture was chromatographed by preparative TLC (silica gel, mixed solvent of benzene and hexane (1:5)) to give 13 mg ( $65 \%$ yield) of 9 . All spectra data were identical with those of the authentic sample.

Reaction of 8 with Elemental Sulfur. A mixture of $60 \mathrm{mg}(0.085$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ of 8 and $60 \mathrm{mg}(0.234 \mathrm{mmol})$ of elemental sulfur in a sealed glass tube was heated at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Product 18 was isolated by preparative TLC (silica gel, hexane) and crystallized from hexane to give 44 mg ( $70 \%$ yield) of pure 18: $\mathrm{mp} 235^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $1820,1420,1245,1095$, $835 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / e 736\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta-0.02(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 0.03\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right), 7.1-8.1$ ( $20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ring protons). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{SSi}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 65.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.65$. Found: C, $64.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.67$.
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(19) A ratio of $\mathbf{1 6}$ to $\mathbf{1 7}$ determined by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR technique did not change after crystallization.
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#### Abstract

The phosphorescence of 4-carboxymethylbenzophenone in carbon tetrachloride solution is quenched by various alkenes. The kinetics follow Stern-Volmer kinetics. An Arrhenius plot of $k_{\mathrm{ir}}$, the apparent second-order rate constant, yields activation energies of $1.77 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for 1-pentene, $0.5 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for cis- and trans-2-pentene and 2-methyl-1-butene, and $0.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for 2 -methyl-2-butene. 2,3-Dimethyl-2-butene has a curved Arrhenius plot which indicates a change in the rate-determining step for the quenching process. This is interpreted to mean that triplet exciplex formation is partially diffusion controlled in the low-temperature domain and reversible at higher temperatures.


## Introduction

Triplet exciplexes are elusive species. Often invoked, they are rarely observed. ${ }^{1}$ Consequently their presence in particular photochemical reactions is often inferred on the basis of indirect evidence. The reaction of ketone triplet states with simple alkenes ${ }^{2}$
(1) (a) Kuzmin, K. A.; Darmanyan, A. P.; Levin, P. P. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1979, 63, 509-514. (b) Roy, J. K.; Caroll, F. A.; Whitten, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 6349-6355. (c) Andreeva, N. E.; Chibisov, A. K. Biofizika 1976, 21, 24. (d) Ivanov, V. L.; All-Aiven, S. A.; Kuzmin, M. G. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSSR 1977, 237, 1400. (e) Encinas, M. V.; Sciano, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3023-3033.
is a classic case in which exciplexes were invoked to help rationalize results which deviated from expections based on studies of reactions of electrophilic radicals with alkenes. ${ }^{3}$ Similar inferences have been drawn in the reports of ketone fluorescence quenching by
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